IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

RSA No.2265 of 2007

Date of Decision: October 12, 2007

Raunki Ram and others .....Appellants.

Versus

Munshi Ram .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. D. ANAND

Present: Mr.Gorakh Nath, Advocate for the appellants.

S. D. ANAND, J.

1. In a concurrence of views, the learned Trial Court and also the learned First Appellate Court recorded a finding that the plaintiff-appellants had neither been proved to have any proprietary title nor any right, title or interest in the land in suit after the pre-emption decree in respect of that land in favour of respondent attained finality. In the course of the judgment, the learned First Appellate Court noticed that the plaintiff-appellants had not been able to prove that the impugned entries for the period 1990-91 are wrong. It further recorded a finding that there is no evidence to prove that the actual physical possession of the land had been handed over to the plaintiff-appellants.

2. In the light thereof, no question of law, much less substantial in character, arises for determination of this Court.

3. Dismissed in limine.

(S. D. ANAND)
JUDGE

October 12, 2007
SRM

Note: Whether referred to reporter ? Yes/No